

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,505	WHITE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher D Prone	3738	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher D Prone.

(2) Patrick Ikehara.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2005

Time: 6:30 p.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 1 was discussed as being broad and anticipated by prior art of record being United States Patent 5,575,804 Yoon. The applicant then agreed to cancel claim one to pursue claims 2-10 as being allowable over the prior art of record. Applicant also recognized and agreed to amendments to the abstract, specification, and the claims, further described in the examiner's amendment.